on U.S. 1111 2005

PATENT COOP	ERATION TREATY	K HECAD OF JOE 5002			
From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		WIPO PCT			
To:	]	PCT			
Valea AB					
Lindholmspiren 5 $\mu/q$	WRITTI	EN OPINION OF THE			
417 56 Göteborg	INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				
Sverige	(Pe	CT Rule 43bis.1)			
	Date of mailing (day/month/year)	<b>0 1</b> -07- 2005			
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION				
P17031PC00	2	See paragraph 2 below			
	` '   _	riority date (day/month/year) 26-01-2004			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national class	sification and IPC				
H01J37/26, B81B3/00, G01L1/00,					
Applicant					
Nanofactory Instruments AB et	al ·	,			
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the followin	g items:				
Box No. I Basis of the opinion		·			
Box No. II Priority	Box No. II Priority				
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention				
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
Box No. VI Certain documents cited					
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application				
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the interne	ational application				
2. FURTHER ACTION					
If a demand for international preliminary examination is International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA" Authority other than this one to be IPEA and the choser written opinions of this International Searching Authori	<ol> <li>except that this does not ap iPEA has notified the Intern ty will not be so considered.</li> </ol>	national Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that			
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 me	written opinion of the IPEA,	ration of 3 monuis from the date of marring			
For further opinions, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.	•	•			
·		·			

Name and mailing address of the ISA/SE Patent- och registreringsverket Box 5055 S-102 42 STOCKHOLM Facsimile No. +46 8 667 72 88

Authorized officer

Henrik Eriksson/MN

Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

International application No.

PCT/SE2005/000098

Box No. I	Basis of this opinion
which it wa	d to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in as filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
Thi	s opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3
and	23.1(b)).
2. With regard	d to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the vention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a. type of	
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format o	in written format
	in computer readable form
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
c. time of	filing/furnishing
	contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
. —	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additions	al comments:
Ì	
Ì	

International application No.

PCT/SE2005/000098

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
1. In response to the invitation (Form PCT/IPEA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has:
paid additional fees
paid additional fees under protest
Not paid additional fees
2. This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
Complied with
Not Complied with for the following reasons:
The following separate inventions were identified:
I: Claims 1-11 relate to the problem of how to measure forces. This problem appears to be solved by attaching a membrane to a bulk structure through springs. The movements of the membrane, with respect to the bulk structure, are then measured using detection elements.
II: Claims 12-13 relate to the problem of how to produce small force sensors. This problem is solved by, among other things, etching a substrate with a buried oxide layer and doping one side of the substrate. It is not evident from claims 12-13 that the method concerns the production of the force sensor according to claim 1.
III: Claims 14-15 relate to the problem of how to design a nanoindentation sample for use in a nanoindentation system. The sample comprises a base plate and a ridge.
4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
all parts
the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-11

International application No.
PCT/SE2005/000098

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1. Statement					
Novelty (N)	Claims	2,4,6-11	YES		
	Claims	1,3,5	NO		
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES		
,	Claims	1-11	NO		
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-11	YES		
Claims		NO			

### 2. Citations and explanations:

The claimed invention relates to a force sensor and a nanoindentation system that includes said force sensor. The force sensor comprises a membrane that is movable in relation to a bulk structure. The membrane is attached to said bulk structure through springs. The movement of the membrane, in relation to the bulk structure, is measured. The object of the invention is to provide a nanoindentation device that is small and versatile enough to be implemented in an electron microscope device.

Documents cited in the International Search Report:

D1: WO 9612930 A1 D3: WO 03043051 A1 D2: US 5840597 A D4: WO 0163204 A1

Document D1 discloses an apparatus for microindentation hardness testing and surface imaging. The apparatus comprises a capacitive force sensor (fig.1) with sensor elements that could be fabricated using circuit etching technology (page 13, lines 10-18). The sensor comprises a substrate layer (16 in fig.1) and the substrate layer includes a central plate (20). The substrate layer and the central plate correspond to the bulk structure and the membrane in the claimed invention.

The central plate is attached to the substrate layer through a spring supporting structure, resulting in movement capabilities for the central plate with respect to the

.../...

International application No.
PCT/SE2005/000098

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of:  $Box\ V$ 

substrate layer (page 16, lines 19-35). The movement of the central plate is measured using detection elements (page 18, lines 19-36). Further, the central plate is attached to a sample holding structure (24), corresponding to the probe holding structure in the claimed invention (page 17, line 16 - page 18, line 10).

Document D2 discloses a semiconductor device with a force sensor. The force sensor comprises a spring-mass system (14 and 15 in fig. 1 and fig.5) responsive to the quantity to be measured and with which a plurality of transducers are associated in order to produce measuring data signals (column 3, line 56-column 4, line 29).

Document D3 discloses a nanoindentation system for use in a transmission electron microscope. The system comprises a nanoindentation probe (5 in fig.4), mounted on a force sensor (page 10, lines 13-32). In one embodiment, the force sensor consists of a flexible membrane. The indention tip is arranged on one side of the membrane and on the opposite side, a layer of conductive material is arranged. A second electrode is formed at a small distance from the flexible membrane, i.e. a capacitive element is formed. Also, the with a system comprises a sample holder (3 in fig.1) lines 24-36). (page 6, micropositioning device nanoindentation probe and the sample holder are movable in relation to each other.

Document D4 also discloses a transmission electron microscope that comprises an atomic force microscopy device (abstract and the figures).

The invention according to claim 1 is known from document D1. Therefore, the invention according to claim 1 lacks novelty.

Further, also note that the invention according to claim 1 is considered to lack an inventive step in the light of document D2.

International application No.
PCT/SE2005/000098

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of:  $Box\ V$ 

The invention according to claims 3 and 5 is also known from document D1. Consequently, the invention according to claims 3 and 5 lacks an inventive step.

Document D3 (or document D4) is considered to represent the closest prior art to the invention according to claim 10. The difference between the system according to claim 10 and D3 concerns the design of the force sensor. The movable membrane, according to claim 10, is attached to a bulk structure through at least one spring.

A person skilled in the art, searching for alternative force sensors that could be included in a microscope, knows from document D1 that a movable membrane could be attached to a substrate layer through a spring supporting structure.

Thus, a person skilled in the art, having the system known from D3 as a starting point and searching for alternative solutions, would with the knowledge of D1 attach the membrane to springs. Thus, the skilled person arrives at the invention according to claim 10.

Since D1 and D3 both relate to the same technical field, and no unexpected effect is obtained, the combination of what is known from D1 and D3 is considered obvious for the skilled person.

The invention according to claim 10 is thus not considered to involve an inventive step.

The subject-matter of the remaining claims 2, 4, 6-9 and 11, e.g. the arrangement of the springs, the shape of the springs and the construction of the displacement device, is only considered to constitute details that are obvious for a person skilled in the art.

Therefore, the invention according to claims 2, 4, 6-9 and 11 fails to involve an inventive step.

.../...

International application No.
PCT/SE2005/000098

Supplemental Box		
-PP		

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of: Box  $\,\,V\,$ 

The invention according to claims 1-11 is considered to be industrially applicable.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Supplemental Box) (January 2004)